

ITEM NO.1 + 46 COURT NO.9 SECTION X  
 S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A  
 RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

I.A. NO.7/2015 in Writ Petition (Civil) No.92/2011

EDUCATION PROMOTION SOCIETY FOR INDIA & ORS Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

UNION OF INDIA & ORS. Respondent(s)

(For directions and office report)

With I.A. No.4 in W.P.(C) No.90 of 2011  
 (For directions and office report)

Date : 04/12/2015 These applications were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M.Y. EQBAL  
 HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE C. NAGAPPAN

For Petitioner(s) Mr. K. K. Venugopal, Sr. Adv.  
 Mr. Huzefa Ahmadi, Sr. Adv.  
 Mr. Gopal Shankranarayan, Adv.  
 Mr. Naresh Kaushik, Adv.  
 Mr. Rohit Bhatt, Adv.  
 For Mrs. Lalita Kaushik, Adv.

Mr. Renjith B., Adv.

For Respondent(s) Mr. Udit Arora, Adv.  
 For Mr. C. D. Singh, Adv.

Mr. Anil Soni, Adv.  
 Mr. Gaurav Sharma, Adv.  
 Mr. Prateek Bhatia, Adv.

Mr. Milind Kumar, Adv.

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following  
 O R D E R

Reference may be made to this Court's order dated 15.10.2014

which reads as under :

Signature Not Verified  
 Digitally signed by  
 Sanjay Kumar  
 Date: 2015.12.04  
 15:19:47 IST  
 Reason: [ ]

"We have heard Dr. A.M. Singhvi and Mr. K.K. Venugopal, learned Senior Counsel appearing for the petitioners and also Mr. Amitesh Kumar, learned counsel appearing for the respondent-All India Council for Technical Education (AICTE).

Mr. Amitesh Kumar, learned counsel submitted that since the matter concerning same subject is referred to the larger Bench and the matters are sub-judice, it would be appropriate to defer the hearing of these cases. However, he has no objection with regard to extension of interim relief granted by this Court on earlier occasions.

Having regard to the submission made by Mr. Amitesh Kumar, learned counsel appearing for AICTE, the interim orders passed by this Court on 18.02.2013 as well as on 10.07.2012 shall continue for the academic session 2015-2016 also."

Mr. K. K. Venugopal, learned Senior Counsel appearing for the petitioners, submits that having regard to the pendency of the matter before the Larger Bench, it would be proper for the ends of justice if the interim orders passed by this Court be extended for the Academic Sessions 2016-2017.

Mr. Anil Soni, learned counsel appearing for the respondent-AICTE, submits that he has no objection in the event the interim orders passed by this Court are further extended for the Academic Sessions 2016-2017.

In view of the aforesaid premises, we direct that the interim orders passed by this Court on 10.07.2012 and 18.02.2013 are extended and shall continue for the Academic Sessions 2016-2017.

These interlocutory applications stand disposed of accordingly.

(Sanjay Kumar-II)  
Court Master

(Indu Pokhriyal)  
Court Master